

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 96-1367

ROBERT L. DOHERTY,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

FAIRFIELD COMMUNITIES, INCORPORATED; FAIRFIELD HARBOUR, INCORPORATED; FAIRFIELD HARBOUR PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION; C. W. S. SYSTEMS, INCORPORATED; JIMMIE PROCTOR; TOM MCKNIGHT; NORTHWEST CREEK, INCORPORATED; JOSEPH H. STALLINGS; ROSE LAW FIRM, of Little Rock, Arkansas; JOHN DOE, Attorney for Harbour Recreation Club's Membership Offering Documents; HARBOUR RECREATION CLUB; UNITED CAROLINA BANK, of New Bern; JOHN DOE, Title Insurers; JOHN DOE, any persons who joined, conspired with or aided and abetted the named defendants,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Greenville. Malcolm J. Howard, District Judge. (CA-95-94-4-H)

Submitted: January 14, 1997

Decided: February 28, 1997

Before HALL, WILLIAMS, and MICHAEL, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Robert L. Doherty, Appellant Pro Se. Jackson L. Steele, PETREE STOCKTON, Charlotte, North Carolina; George Robinson Ragsdale, Christina Isabel Flores, RAGSDALE, LIGGETT & FOLEY, Raleigh, North Carolina; Edward Smoot Finley, Jr., HUNTON & WILLIAMS, Raleigh, North Carolina; P. C. Barwick, Jr., William Edward Manning, Jr., Kinston, North Carolina; Jimmie Banks Hicks, Jr., SUMRELL, SUGG, CARMICHAEL & ASHTON, P.A., New Bern, North Carolina; Joseph H. Stallings, HOWARD, FROM, STALLINGS & HUTSON, P.A., Raleigh, North Carolina; Martha Jones Mason, SMITH, ANDERSON, BLOUNT, DORSETT, MITCHELL & JERNIGAN, Raleigh, North Carolina; John Ivan Mabe, Jr., MANNING, FULTON & SKINNER, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Robert Doherty appeals the district court's order denying relief in this civil action. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Doherty v. Fairfield Communities, Inc., No. CA-95-94-4-H (E.D.N.C. Feb. 29, 1996). We have also considered but reject the allegations of error raised in Doherty's brief pertaining to matters not discussed by the district court. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

